

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are pending. By this amendment, the specification and 1-8 have been amended to correct minor informalities therein and claims 9-14 have been added. It is respectfully submitted that the amendments to originally submitted claims 1-8 do not raise any new issues requiring additional search and/or consideration and were not made to distinguish claims 1-8 over the prior art. Further, support for the features recited in claims 9-14 can at least be found on page 4, lines 8-11 and page 7, lines 18-20 of Applicants' original specification. No new matter has been added.

In view of the above amendments and the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and timely withdrawal of the pending objections and rejections for the reasons discussed below.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over JP Patent 8129375 (hereinafter "JP '375") in view of U. S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0126161 invented by Kuzunuki, *et al.* (hereinafter "Kuzunuki"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants' claims 1 and 5 disclose a real size display system, comprising a flat panel display unit for displaying image information and providing information on installed dot size ... wherein the first image information includes measurement information, and wherein the first image information is converted into the second image information based on the dot size information received from the flat panel display unit.

Applicants respectfully submit that JP '375 or Kuzunuki both fail to disclose at least these features of Applicants' claims 1 and 5. First, Applicants submit that nowhere does JP '375 disclose a flat panel display unit which provides information on installed dot size and that a first image information is converted into a second image information based on the dot size information received from the flat panel display. Further, Applicants submit that nowhere does JP '375 disclose that the first image information includes measurement information. Instead, Applicants submit that JP '375 simply discloses the display of a video in a screen size as shown in a reference for a video source, without depending on the screen size of the display being used to display the video (Abstract). As stated in paragraph 5 of JP '375, the purpose of the invention disclosed in JP '375 is to provide an image size compensator which performs enlargement or reduction of the source of an image so that the size of a photographic subject may be kept constant.

Second, page 2 of the Office Action states that the step of the first image information including measurement information is obvious because the first image can be provided by picture device or camera. However, Applicants respectfully submit that in JP '375 the real size of a subject being displayed is irrelevant, as JP '375 focuses on maintaining the size of the image of a subject in a reference video constant irrespective of the size of the display used to show the video and nowhere does JP '375 disclose or suggest measurement of a variable to maintain the constant size.

Third, Applicants respectfully submit that Kuzunuki fails to overcome the deficiencies of JP '375, as discussed above with regards to claims 1 and 5 because Kuzunuki also fails to disclose a flat panel display unit for displaying image information and providing information on installed dot size ... wherein the first image information includes measurement information, and

wherein the first image information is converted into the second image information based on the dot size information received from the flat panel display unit, as recited in Applicants' claims 1 and 5. Kuzunuki is directed to an information processing system which includes a desk with a planar display unit which accommodates physical objects to be manipulated by an operator. More particularly, in Kuzunuki, a camera is used to monitor the desk display surface and is coupled to an electronic computer which can be controlled by the operator by moving the physical objects and the operator's hands to simulate paper processing tasks, such as mailing, faxing, filing, opening files and editing (Abstract). Further, Applicants submit that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Kuzunuki with the teachings of JP '375, which is directed to a video size corrector to disclose a real size display system with the features recited in Applicants' claims 1 and 5.

For at least these reasons, Applicants submit that JP '375 and Kuzunuki, alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest all the features of Applicants' claims 1 and 5, as well as all the features of claims 3 and 7, which depend from claims 1 and 5, respectively. Thus, JP '375 and Kuzunuki, alone or in combination, fail to render obvious all the features of claims 1, 3, 5 and 7. It is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 2, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over JP '375 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,630,105 issued to Itagaki. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

For the reasons discussed above, with regards to claims 1 and 5, Applicants submit that JP'375 fails to disclose or suggest all the features of claims 1 and 5, from which claims 2 and 4, and 6 and 8, respectively depend from. Further, Applicants submit that Itagaki fails to overcome the deficiencies of JP '375 because Itagaki also fails, at least, to disclose a real size display system, comprising a flat panel display unit for displaying image information and providing

information on installed dot size ... wherein the first image information includes measurement information, and wherein the first image information is converted into the second image information based on the dot size information received from the flat panel display unit, as recited in claims 1 and 5.

For at least these reasons, Applicants submit that JP '375 and Itagaki, alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest all the features of claims 2, 4, 6 and 8. Thus, the combination of JP '375 and Itagaki fails to render obvious all the features recited in claims 2, 4, 6 and 8. It is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

Other Matters:

Applicants filed an Information Disclosure Statement on June 19, 2003. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner consider the references submitted therewith and return to the undersigned a signed and initialed Form PTO-1449 evidencing the submission and consideration of the listed references.


CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the pending Office Action and respectfully submit that all of the stated objections and grounds for rejection have been overcome or rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned attorney at the number below to expedite prosecution.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Hae-Chan Park
Reg. No. 50,114

Date: July 23, 2003

McGuireWoods LLP
1750 Tysons Boulevard
Suite 1800
McLean, VA 22102-4215
Tel: 703-712-5365
Fax: 703-712-5280
HCP:MMI/mmi

\\COM\209586.1